CONFIDENTIAL Boston, MA

March 29, 2006

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CA NO. 04-11783-RWZ

CYTOLOGIX CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

VS.

VENTANA MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE CA NO. 04-1522-GMS

VENTANA MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC., Plaintiff, VS.

DAKO CYTOMATION CALIFORNIA, INC., Defendant.

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF HERBERT LOEFFLER, a witness called to testify by counsel for the Defendant in the above-entitled matters, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Julie A. Bates, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the offices of Donnelly, Conroy & Gelhaar, One Beacon Street, Boston, Massachusetts, on Wednesday, March 29, 2006, commencing at 9:32 a.m.

Alderson Reporting Company

CONFIDENTIAL Boston, MA

March 29, 2006

		Page 28
1		MR. ZELIGER: Objection. Lack of
2		foundation and calls for a legal conclusion
3		and calls for opinion testimony.
4		(The witness reviewed the
5		documents.)
6	Α.	Claim 1 says, "place microscope slides on a
7		platform." So it refers to that platform.
8		And then further on in claim 1 it
9		says, "moving the platform and a liquid
10		dispenser relative to each other."
11		And claim 7 says, "on said moving
12		platform." So it refers to the platform
13		with the slides. But claim 1, as I
14		understand it, is the an independent
15		claim that defines that the platforms may
16		move relative to each other.
17	Q.	There's only one platform in claim one,
18		right?
19		(The witness reviewed the
20		documents.)
21	A.	Let's see. In claim 1 moving platform and
22		liquid dispenser well, it describes two
23		elements. It describes a moving platform
24		and a liquid dispenser.
25	Q.	Right. But there's only one platform

CONFIDENTIAL Boston, MA

March 29, 2006

- 1 that's referred to in claim 1. That's the
- 2 slide platform, right?
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. And claim 7 refers to that platform
- 5 as, "said moving platform," right?
- 6 A. That's what it says.
- 7 Q. Okay. Now let's go back to claim 1. The
- 8 fourth step of claim 1 calls for
- 9 "dispensing liquid from the dispenser onto
- the slides." Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes, I see it.
- 12 Q. And the dispenser called for in the fourth
- step refers back to the same liquid
- dispenser that was introduced in the third
- 15 step of claim 1, correct?
- MR. ZELIGER: Same objection.
- 17 A. Third step of claim 1. I'm looking for
- 18 that.
- 19 (The witness reviewed the
- documents.)
- 21 A. Line 31 says, "moving the platform and a
- liquid dispenser relative to each other."
- 23 Q. 21 I think you meant.
- 24 A. 21, I'm sorry.
- 25 Q. Right. The third step says, "moving the

CONFIDENTIAL Boston, MA

March 29, 2006

- 1 all of the additions to the --
- 2 Q. They were made during the deposition,
- 3 that's correct.
- 4 A. All right.
- 5 Q. So you can ignore that for present
- 6 purposes. If you would just read the first
- 7 sentence that bridges pages 4 and 5.
- 8 (The witness reviewed the
- 9 documents.)
- 10 A. I've read that.
- 11 Q. Okay. And you see here that your attorney
- 12 stated that "Amended independent claim 6
- recites independent temperature control of
- 14 slides in a system"?
- MR. ZELIGER: Objection. Lack of
- 16 foundation.
- 17 A. I see the language as it's written.
- 18 Q. Okay. And then he says it's "in a system
- in which, " quote, "a liquid dispenser and a
- slide supporting platform are moved
- relative to each other in order to dispense
- liquid on the slide." Do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes, it does say that.
- 24 Q. And it says, "liquid dispenser and a slide
- supporting platform are moved," right?

CONFIDENTIAL Boston, MA

March 29, 2006

Page 59 (The witness reviewed the 1 2 documents.) 3 Yes, that's what it says. Α. And "are," the verb "are," is plural, 4 Q. 5 right? 6 Α. Yes. And the reason it's plural is because two 7 Ο. things are being moved; namely, a liquid 8 dispenser and a slide supporting platform, 9 according to this sentence. 10 MR. ZELIGER: Objection. Lack of 11 12 foundation. According to part of the 13 sentence. Correct? 14 Q. Could you please repeat the question? 15 Α. The reason the plural verb "are" is 16 Q. used is because there are two subjects that 17 are being moved in this sentence; namely, a 18 liquid dispenser and a slide supporting 19 20 platform, correct? 21 MR. ZELIGER: Objection to the form of the question. Lack of foundation. 22 That's not what the sentence says, that's 23 what a part of the sentence says. 24

Well, that part of the sentence just --

25

Α.

CONFIDENTIAL Boston, MA

March 29, 2006

- does refer to two objects. "Are" would be
- 2 plural.
- 3 Q. Okay. Does that tell you that both of them
- 4 are moved relative to each other?
- 5 A. In that sentence, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. Not one or the other is moved, both
- 7 are moved relative to each other, correct?
- 8 A. Well, "relative" means that they move in
- 9 relation to each other. It doesn't
- 10 necessarily mean -- it's back to the one,
- 11 the other, or both may move in order to
- 12 accomplish alignment.
- 13 Q. Well, it doesn't say here "liquid dispenser
- or a slide supporting platform is moved
- relative to the other, " does it?
- 16 A. No, it doesn't say that.
- 17 Q. It says both are moved, right?
- 18 MR. ZELIGER: "...relative to
- 19 each other."
- 20 A. Yeah. The word "relative" is what makes it
- possible to move one, the other, or both.
- 22 Q. Okay. What does "move" mean to you, the
- verb "move"?
- 24 A. Displacement in relation to a reference.
- 25 Q. What does "displacement" mean?

CONFIDENTIAL Boston, MA

March 29, 2006

		Page 79
1		after I do so, I'll ask you whether you
2		gave that testimony.
3		"Question: What you were telling
4		the potential investors in your company
5		about the machine is that it could do
6		individual slide heating.
7		"Answer: Yes."
8		Did you give that testimony, sir?
9	Α.	I have to believe you.
10	Q.	Now, in the Spectrum 50, like the device
11		described in the 114 patent, is it correct
12		that the slides on the rotary carousel and
13		the reagents on the reagent carousel were
14		indexed to a dispensing station so that a
15		particular reagent could be dispensed onto
16		a particular slide?
17	Α.	That's true.
18	Q.	And what is your understanding of this term
19		indexing the slides and the reagents to
20		dispense reagent onto the slide?
21	Α.	Rotating the carousels.
22	Q.	Now, earlier you mentioned that you
23		provided demonstrations of the Spectrum 50
24		to third parties once the machine got
25		transferred over to Bogen's lab.

CONFIDENTIAL Boston, MA

March 29, 2006

- 1 stationary slides.
- 2 Q. So stationary -- I'm sorry. So moving the
- 3 slides became a necessary component for the
- 4 design that you all came up with.
- 5 A. The slides were lighter and smaller than
- 6 the other objects that we wanted to relate
- 7 them to, so we moved the smaller element.
- 8 Q. Did you ever design a slide processing
- 9 device in which the slide platform did not
- 10 move but which had the capability of
- 11 heating some slides to a first temperature
- and heating other slides to a second
- 13 defined temperature?
- 14 A. The Spectrum 50 was the first device that
- we designed that did that.
- 16 Q. Okay. But you may have missed a component
- of my question. Did you ever design a
- 18 slide processing device in which the slide
- 19 platform did not move at all but which had
- the capability of heating some slides to a
- 21 first defined temperature and heating other
- 22 slides to another defined temperature?
- 23 A. No, we didn't do that.
- 24 Q. Did you ever conceive of a slide processing
- device in which the slide platform did not

CONFIDENTIAL Boston, MA

March 29, 2006

		Page 106
1		261 patent the slide platform, whenever
2		it's discussed, is described as rotating,
3		correct?
4		MR. ZELIGER: If you remember.
5	A.	Yeah, as far as I remember.
6	Q.	Okay. Is there any passage in the 261
7		patent, according to your understanding,
8		which demonstrates that you and your
9		co-inventors contemplated the use of a
10		non-moving slide platform as being within
11		the scope of your invention?
12		MR. ZELIGER: May I have that
13		back, please?
14		(The record was read as
15		requested.)
16		MR. ZELIGER: Including the
17		claims?
18		MR. SHULMAN: No. The
19		description right now.
20		MR. ZELIGER: Okay.
21	A.	I don't remember such a passage.
22	Q.	Okay. Now, at some point after the phase 2
23		device was completed, you began working
24		with a company called Product Genesis to
25		further develop the prototype, correct?

CONFIDENTIAL Boston, MA

March 29, 2006

Page 109 heated slides on the rotating platform to 1 the stationary base, correct? 2 3 Α. Yes. And by reducing the number of wires, 4 Q. 5 Mr. Purbrick made the loop that carries the 6 wires from the rotating platform to the stationary base sufficiently flexible so 7 that the platform could freely rotate 8 during operation, correct? 9 10 Α. Yes. And that solution to the wiring loop issue 11 Q. is what led to the filing of the 12 application of the 261 patent, correct? 13 I assume. 14 Α. And do you agree, sir, that if the slide 15 Q. platform had been stationary as opposed to 16 rotating, then there was no need to reduce 17 the number of wires between the platform 18 and the base. 19 20 Α. Correct. 21 MR. ZELIGER: Objection to the form of the question. Calls for 22 23 speculation. But you may answer. 24 MR. SHULMAN: Did you get his 25 answer?